Interview Summary	Application No. 09/446,508	Applicant(s) Palvianen	
	Examiner Temica M. Davis	Art Unit 2681	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Temica M. Davis* (3) _____

(2) *Jason Skaarup* (4) _____

Date of Interview _____ *Apr 17, 2003* _____

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☒ Personal [copy is given to 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If yes, brief description:

_____

Claim(s) discussed: *1-14*

Identification of prior art discussed:
Joong et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,134,433

_____

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Proposed amendment was presented to the examiner which may overcome the Joong reference, however, the proposed amendment does require a further search. Newly proposed dependent claims were also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

TEMICA M. DAVIS
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required